

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUPE LANDIN, JR. and EDUARDO  
MURILLO,

No. 1:15-cv-01416-TLN-SKO

Plaintiffs,

**NOTICE OF RELATED CASE ORDER**

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and  
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

CORY BARRETT HALL,

No. 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SAB

Plaintiff,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and  
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,  
Defendants.

FRANCISCO FLORES and ANTHONY  
BUSTOS-CABRERA,

No. 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and  
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

1	JOSEPH JOSHUA DAVIS, et al.	No. 1:15-cv-01544-DAD-SAB
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	v.	
4	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
5	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
6	Defendants.	
7	-----	
8	JAMES K. GRIMES and CARLOS	No. 1:15-cv-01596-KJM-BAM
9	RAMOS,	
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	v.	
12	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
13	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	No. 2:15-cv-01965 KJN-EFB
14	Defendants.	
15	-----	
16	STEPHANIE MCBRIDE, et al.,	
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	v.	
19	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
20	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	No. 2:15-cv-02075-TLN-CMK
21	Defendants.	
22	-----	
23	LAWRENCE ELKINS, et al.,	
24	Plaintiffs,	
25	v.	
26	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
27	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	No. 2:15-cv-02149-KJM-AC
28	Defendants.	
	-----	

1	Defendants.	
2	KRIS COOK, et al.,	No. 2:15-cv-02152-TLN-KJN
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	v.	
5	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
6	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
7	Defendants.	
8	KEVIN HUFFMAN, et al.,	No. 2:15-cv-02186-WBS-KJN
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	v.	
11	COMCAST CORPORATION and	
12	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
13	Defendants.	

14  
15        Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning  
16 of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the  
17 same claims, the same event, the same questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would  
18 therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the  
19 assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial  
20 effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.


21        IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 1:15-cv-01484-  
22 TLN-SAB, 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO, 1:15-cv-1544-DAD-SAB, 1:15-cv-1596-KJM-BAM,  
23 2:15-cv-1965-KJM-EFB, 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-CMK, 2:15-cv-2149-KJM-AC, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-  
24 KJN, and 2:15-cv-2186-WBS-KJN are hereby reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and  
25 Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto for all further proceedings. Any dates currently set in the  
26 reassigned cases are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the  
27 reassigned cases shall be shown as: 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-01521-TLN-SKO, 1:15-  
28 cv-1544-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-1596-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-1965-TLN-SKO,

1 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2149-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-SKO, and 2:15-cv-2186-  
2 TLN-SKO.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment  
4 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment and issue.

5

6 Dated: January 15, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28